A Cooperative Manifesto
A Manifesto for a Life-Changing Conclusion
by Tim Huet, GEO

When my colleagues, the editors of this publication, asked me to write a brief piece explaining why I got into cooperative development, I responded that this posed a perhaps insurmountable difficulty: briefly explaining how I arrived at the life-changing conclusion that (trumpets, please) There Is No More Important Social Change Work You Can Do Than Cooperative Development. I mentioned that I’d been thinking of writing an essay arguing that— while chaining oneself to a tree might be sexier; while blockading WTO meetings might seem more “front-line”; while busting-out Starbucks windows might seem more cutting-edge—There Is No More Important Social Change Work You Can Do Than Cooperative Development (hereinafter, TINMIS-CWYCDTCD). The editors responded with the generous offer of feature space in order to accommodate the TINMIS-CWYCDTCD argument. So, the editors having called my bluff (giving me enough space/rope to hang myself), here I am pounding out my Cooperative Manifesto.

In the following section, I’ve laid out six conclusions I reached some dozen years ago (in my mid-twenties) that premised my decision to devote myself to cooperative development. Before launching into those conclusions/premises, I wish to clarify that I don’t use the term “cooperative development” in some restrictive sense to mean only starting new cooperatives or expanding/restructuring established ones. For me, anything that a member does to improve her/his cooperative or help it achieve its mission is cooperative development (could be excellent customer service, could be developing personnel systems). I’ll argue herein that all such cooperative development work is inherently important social change work.

A Long and Logical Road

Premise 1: Regulation and reform will not keep capitalism from destroying our environment and creating disastrous social cleavages; fundamental change is needed.

I could go on for a quite a while regarding why capitalism inevitably leads to ecological and social ruin — and there was a time (during my student days) when I did go on at length about the pathology and prognosis. But I came to the conclusion that it was largely a waste of time. Because—

Premise 2: There’s no point convincing people of the prevailing system’s intrinsic and inevitable failings if you can’t offer hope of anything better.

I became very proficient at persuading people regarding the downsides and doom. But that simply led to the question, “What can you offer better?” And, believe me, an exploration of the theoretical promise of anarcho-syndicalism or your-ideal[ist]-prescription-of-choice won’t get you very far with most people. Because—

Premise 3: The overwhelming majority of people cannot be convinced with theoretical arguments, but require demonstrative proof.

Moreover—

Premise 4: You can’t simply wait for capitalism to collapse (or work to “tear down capitalism”), with the expectation that “after the collapse” people will “get revolutionary consciousness” and be receptive to your arguments about building a truly democratic society and economy.

History indicates clearly that, in the wake of economic collapse, people are more likely to listen to fascist/totalitarian appeals to their fears and hunger than they are to elaborate proposals for building a more democratic economy and society. We cannot simply await the apocalypse, cheering or working for capitalism’s collapse; we need to build the democratic future now. We at least need to build a working example of a democratic future economy and society, an inspiring example people can turn to as their eyes are opened wide by capitalism’s escalating crises and increasingly frequent crashes.

Moreover—

Premise 5: Efforts to tear down the system or protest its injustices do not develop the constructive skills and habits of mind that a democratic economy and society require.

There is plenty about the current regime that inspires and even requires protest. But we get stuck in an oppositional, critical, reactive mentality if all we do is protest. By endeavoring to build working models of economic democracy, we also build the constructive skills and thinking that will be needed to operate the equitable post-capitalist society we envision.

Moreover—

Premise 6: You cannot achieve true democracy without economic democracy, democracy in the workplace.

You cannot say a society is truly democratic if its adults spend the majority of their waking hours in undemocratic workplaces and do not enjoy control over the basic elements
of their lives [no control over their jobs ultimately means no
security regarding their homes, healthcare, time, education,
etc.]. And the undemocratic nature of work for most adults
has effects beyond the workplace and outside working hours.
Autocratic models of relating in the workplace carryover into
the family, larger community, and political realm.
Conversely, I believe that members of worker cooperatives
learn democratic skills and ways of interacting with each
other—and the confidence that comes from taking control
over your life—that benefits their families and larger communi-
cies, and can carryover into the political realm.

Indeed, I don’t think there is much hope for achieving even
limited political democracy (what I refer to as "periodic
democracy") if you don’t have the everyday democracy of
workplace democracy. It is a dirty secret that no liberal and
few progressives wish to acknowledge: an electorate without
everyday democratic experience/perspective/skills, and the
security that comes from controlling oneís fate, is too easily
manipulated by fear-mongers, prejudice-peddlers, and other
rightist political operators. And yet so much progressive
energy goes towards state, national, and international cam-
paigns when we lack communities/bases of everyday democ-
rary from which to build—when we have failed to build up
everyday democracy from the grassroots, community by
community.

So, the more obvious meaning of my seventh premise is that
"we won’t have achieved true democracy until we have work-
place democracy"; but the more important meaning, the one
that drives my action agenda, is "we need to build coopera-
tives as bases for a democracy movement."

The Promise and Importance of Worker
Cooperatives for a Broader Democracy
Movement

For me, worker cooperatives are not simply businesses; they
are democracy demonstration projects, schools for democra-
cy, laboratories for democracy, and organizing bases for
democracy. What I mean by worker cooperatives being
schools and organizing bases for democracy is perhaps clear
from the above sections. But there are a couple of other
points I would like to make and expand on.

Democracy demonstration projects: As stated above, it is crit-
cial to build working examples of economic democracy that
people can see and experience. From that point of view,
every worker cooperative is a democracy demonstration proj-
ect beyond simply being a business. In addition to producing
bread, bicycles, etc., we produce hope and inspiration.

As importantly, we can provide an example and experi-
ence of community, which people hunger for in our dis-
connected society. I see the proof and power of this on a
regular basis through the cooperative bakeries with which

Iím mostly directly associated. Customers come in not
simply for the great bread, but also for the sustenance of
community. They sense the community at our cooperatives
and want to be part of that.
It follows from this that every interaction with the gener-

al public is imbued with social change importance and
opportunity. Conversely, it is a wasted opportunity [or
worse] if we fail to show care or concern, if we fail to
serve our communities any better than “wage slaves”
under the watch of a boss.

Worker cooperatives have to work for everyone, not just ide-
alists or activists: Earlier I referenced the promise of worker
cooperatives to provide activists with “right livelihood,” the
opportunity to live out and further their values; however, I
feel strongly that worker cooperatives have to be attractive
workplaces for people other than avowed activists. If we
only build businesses-communities that work for idealists
("Aren’t you dedicated enough to work for minimum wage?!),
we’ve hardly proven anything regarding the viability of eco-
nomic democracy. Personally, I find it most satisfying when
we hire people who’ve never heard or cooperatives and have
never been active in their communities. It is one of the
greatest pleasures of my work to see such people blossom, to
grow in confidence and skills —and then perhaps lend their
newly-developed skills to broader community endeavors.

Laboratories for democracy: The sad fact is that humanity
has only the most rudimentary knowledge, vocabulary, tech-
nology, etc. for how to relate to each other and work togeth-
er as equals. An important part of our function as coopera-
tors and agents for social change is to be very conscious
regarding our experiments in democracy and community. We
are developing knowledge [regarding conflict resolution,
communication, collective decision-making] very much need-
ed not simply for the effective functioning of democratic
workplaces, but for the establishment of relations based on
equality and respect throughout society.

Worker cooperatives can generate capital for social causes,
but shouldn’t give it all away: During the 1970s ferment of
U.S. worker cooperative development (I’m referencing such
things as the “food conspiracies” that blossomed in the
Upper Midwest, Bay Area, etc.), there was a prevalent "hip-
pie ethic": making money was evil and paying attention to
business was “uptight” and “bourgeois". Some movement
maturation and natural selection took place. The coopera-
tives that survived and thrived were generally ones that real-
ized paying attention to business allowed you to better serv-
ing your community, including generating resources for com-

munity betterment.

I know that in my region, worker cooperatives have histori-
cally been important and generous contributors to [other]
social change organizations. This is something to be proud
of. However, I do wonder if we have not historically under-
estimated the social change value of worker cooperative
development by underinvesting in our own movement. It is my hope that worker cooperatives will begin to seriously consider whether contributing to the development of more democratic jobs might be as worthwhile as donating money to charities, the arts, and other social change organizations.

Likewise, valuing our inherent social change role should inform the relationship of worker cooperatives to the larger social justice movements. While social change work is my motivation for being involved with cooperatives, it does not follow that I believe cooperatives should serve, above all, as platforms or purses for political causes. Worker cooperatives do have resources and a great deal of visibility that we can lend to various causes. However, I believe we should do this only in a focused and judicious way (for instance, a grocery cooperative focusing its support in the areas of organics and farm workers rights, or a taxi cooperative focusing its efforts on transportation policy). We need to be cautious not to tear ourselves apart or alienate our customer bases by involving ourselves in every hot-button-issue-of-the-day; to do this would waste our social capital, since by forwarding the worker cooperative movement we may contribute more to the social change movement in the long-run. This does not mean that I think worker cooperatives should stand apart from other social change movements, as I will explain in the concluding section.

**As Important, Not More Important—And Not Sufficient**

I’m aware that my opening claim of TINMISCHYCDTCD would strike some activists in other social change movements as a bit grandiose and perhaps even offensive (“How can he put that on the same level as the urgent frontline work we’re doing?!”). I’m hoping that this essay might reach and provoke such activists to see cooperatives as an integral to any movement for justice, peace, and sustainability. That you’re not accomplishing much to save the environment if you don’t address the economic engine that drives consumption and belches out pollution. That, if you want peace and democracy overseas, you should care fiercely about establishing economic as well as political democracy domestically; that developing locally-rooted sustainable economic democracy is critical to countering the forces of global expansionism and military adventure.

Yet, I do not claim that cooperative development is more important than all other forms of social change work. There are various forms of social change work that are just as important, and need to be carried out simultaneously if not in conjunction. I don’t think cooperative development in itself will ever solve all the world’s problems. Nor do I think worker cooperatives can or should stand apart from other social change movements; for instance, we need to battle the many injustices (racism, sexism, etc.) that pervade our society and will not be barred from our doors by any declaration that “we’re all equal here at our cooperative”. In particular, I think worker cooperators need to think of ourselves and act as part of the larger labor movement, not leaving behind other workers because “we got ours”.

And I can fully understand someone dedicating herself or himself to another form of social change work and never having anything to do with cooperatives. Those who seek to be agents of social change should choose the area(s) in which they can best contribute and find the most fulfillment. For myself, I have found cooperative development to be very fulfilling as well as meaningful, and I hope to convince many others to join in.
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